

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,241	12/05/2003	William E. Archer	1-15822	9180	
7590 01/14/2005			EXAMINER		
Attn: Stephen P. Evans, Esq.			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P		
Marshall & Me Four SeaGate,	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Toledo, OH		3683			
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No. Applicant(s)					
	om	10/729	,241	ARCHER, WILLIA	M E.			
Office	e Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
			oher P. Schwartz	3683				
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received a earned patent term	O STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provisions HS from the mailing date of this comment by specified above is less than thirty (3 by is specified above, the maximum stain the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months and adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. O) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply tatutory minimum of thirty (3 I will expire SIX (6) MONTH: application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  10) days will be considered timel  S from the mailing date of this c  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) file	ed on						
<u> </u>	a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Cla	ims			•				
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-20 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/a is/a is/are allowed. 1-5 and 12-20 is/are reject 6-11 is/are objected to. are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from o						
Application Paper	s							
9)∏ The speci	fication is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	may not request that any obje		•	, ,				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ent drawing sheet(s) including or declaration is objected t	•	• ,	•	` '			
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Co app	dgment is made of a claim  ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: rtified copies of the priority pies of the certified copies olication from the Internation ached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in App ments have been re tule 17.2(a)). ertified copies not re	olication No ceived in this National	Thurst			
Attachment(s)					WAY ERP SUMME			
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (Fosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		4) Interview Sun Paper No(s)/N 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date rmal Patent Application (P	OF SERVINE E			

Art Unit: 3683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5,12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramoto in view of Paisley.

Regarding claim 1 Muramoto discloses a device similar to applicants in figure 4 including a rotor and stator assembly at 132,134, fluid pressure operated pistons at 142,144, a spring at 162 and a pressure plate, as broadly claimed, at 136.

Muramoto lacks showing a plurality of interleaved rotor and stator discs.

Paisley is similar to the prior art figures of Muramoto in that a plurality of these interleaved discs are shown in figure 2 at 58,60. Note also the similarity in the actuating mechanism with that of Muramoto.

It would have been obvious to have modified the device of Muramoto to include a plurality of each of the discs for increased braking ability.

Regarding claim 2 to have provided a "hub" arrangement per se in Muramoto, as taught by Paisely at 50, would have been an obvious alternative equivalent engineering choice of design.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,241

Art Unit: 3683

Regarding claim 3 it is notoriously well known in the art to fix stator plates with splined connections to a non-rotatable element of the brake device, such as the housing, as opposed to using dowel pins. Such a modification to a pack of stators 134 provided with splines that could match those on the inside of 136 would be an obvious alternative choice of connection.

Regarding claims 4, as clearly seen in the drawings, these requirements are met.

Regarding claim 5, as broadly claimed, note the "spider" at 126.

Regarding claim 12 these requirements are met.

Regarding claim 13 see figure 5 at 144.

Regarding claims 14-20 these requirements are met.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited have been cited for showing other types of multiple disc type brakes. These references should be carefully reviewed for their collective teachings before preparing a response to the action above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 1/12/05